## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FR	Δ	N	10	7	۲S	1	R	T.	IT	1	I	Δ	1	J	$\Gamma$	) ]	١/	1	Δ	R	(	7	Δ	Ţ	5.	$\mathbf{F}'$	Т	Ę	1	IT	)	T
1.1/	$\vdash$	w	٧V		ı.	•	n	ι.	ш	J	ı	$\vdash$	M	N	L	, ,	v	1/	٦.	n		J.	М	۱ı	`	Ľ	1	·	·ι	J L	,	ı

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY CO.

Defendant.

2:11-cv-04362-WY

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 27 day of November, 2013, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 1. Upon consideration of State Farm's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 21), the Rudis' response, and State Farm's reply, State Farm's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**.
- 2. Judgment is entered in favor of the defendant State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. and against the plaintiffs Francis and Margaret Rudi.
  - 3. This case shall be marked **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

/s William H. Yohn Jr	
William H. Yohn Jr., Judge.	